How to define the storage and loss moduli for a rheologically nonlinear material?

Ivan Argatov*
Institut für Mechanik, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

Alexei Iantchenko
Faculty of Technology and Society, Malmö University, Malmö, Sweden

Vitaly Kocherbitov
Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Health and Society, Malmö University, Malmö, Sweden and Biofilms – Research Center for Biointerfaces, Malmö University, Malmö, Sweden

(Dated: February 20, 2017)

A large amplitude oscillatory shear (LAOS) is considered in the strain-controlled regime, and the interrelation between the Fourier transform (FT) and the stress decomposition (SD) approaches is established. Several definitions of the generalized storage and loss moduli are examined in a unified conceptual scheme based on the Lissajous–Bowditch plots. An illustrative example of evaluating the generalized moduli from a LAOS flow is given.

arXiv:1702.05175v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 16 Feb 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Measuring rheological properties of complex fluids is usually performed in oscillatory shear flow by recording the time-dependent shear stress response to an externally applied oscillatory shear strain [1].

For a small strain amplitude γ_0, the measured shear stress is a simple harmonics with the same angular frequency ω and can be represented in the form

$$\sigma(t) = \gamma_0(G'(\omega)\sin\omega t + G''(\omega)\cos\omega t), \tag{1}$$

provided that the shear strain changes according to a sine law, i.e., $\gamma(t) = \gamma_0 \sin\omega t$. The quantities $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ are called the storage and loss moduli, respectively.

Equation (1) can be also represented in the form

$$\sigma(t) = \sigma_0 \sin(\omega t + \delta), \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma_0 = G_D(\omega)\gamma_0$ is the shear stress amplitude, $G_D(\omega) = \sqrt{G'(\omega)^2 + G''(\omega)^2}$ is the dynamic modulus.

In many practical applications, monitoring changes of G' and G'' occurring in response to changes of environment variables is crucial for understanding the structure and dynamics of materials. For example, the ratio G'/G'' changes dramatically at the glass transition as a response to variation of relative humidity [2, 3]. Since properties of the materials in the glassy and in the fluid state are totally different, they cannot be described by one model, and hence a model-free approach should be used for description rheology of materials in a broad range of values of temperature and relative humidity. Thus, calculation of G' and G'' from both traditional rheometry data and from newer methods such as QCM-D [2, 4] finds many applications in pharmacy, biophysics, surface and colloid chemistry.

For Small Amplitude Oscillatory Shear (SAOS) flows, the amplitude ratio, σ_0/γ_0, and the loss angle, $\delta(\omega)$, are independent of γ_0, whereas the moduli $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ represent elastic and viscous material properties. The quantities $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ represent integral characteristics of the material functions (see, e.g., [5–7]), and in SAOS they bear complete information on viscoelastic properties. Recently, the so-called incomplete storage and loss moduli were introduced in [8] to describe sinusoidally driven testing on a finite interval of time.

However, when the assumption of small strain amplitudes is not satisfied, the same sinusoidal excitation will elicit a non-sinusoidal shear stress response, which is called Large Amplitude Oscillatory Shear (LAOS) flow. While in SAOS, the storage and loss moduli possess clear physical meanings, these parameters lose their physical significance in the nonlinear regime [9].

There is still an urgent need for finding measurable and physically meaningful material parameters that can conquer the status of nonlinear counterparts of $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ [10]. In the present paper, we consider the problem from two viewpoints: Fourier transform (FT) and stress decomposition (SD). Correspondingly, the FT and SD coefficients are denoted by G'_m, G''_m and $\mathbf{G}'_m$, $\mathbf{G}''_m$, $m = 1, 3, \ldots$, while the generalized storage and loss moduli are indicated using letter subscripts.

II. SHEAR STRAIN-CONTROL LAOS: RELATION BETWEEN THE FT AND SD APPROACHES

Let us assume that the shear strain input is represented as a sine wave

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma_0 \sin\omega t, \tag{3}$$

so that the strain rate is given by

$$\dot\gamma(t) = \omega\gamma_0 \cos\omega t. \tag{4}$$

* ivan.argatov@campus.tu-berlin.de

In the framework of the Fourier-Transform (FT) rheology, the nonlinear stress response is represented in the form of a Fourier series

$$\sigma = \gamma_0 \sum_{m:\text{odd}} G'_m(\omega,\gamma_0)\sin m\omega t + G''_m(\omega,\gamma_0)\cos m\omega t, \tag{5}$$

where the Fourier-coefficient moduli $G'_m(\omega,\gamma_0)$ and $G''_m(\omega,\gamma_0)$ are, in general, functions of angular frequency ω and strain amplitude γ_0, which are the two LAOStrain input parameters.

In the framework of the Stress Decomposition (SD) approach of Cho et al. [9], the stress response can be described as

$$\sigma = \sum_{m:\text{odd}} \mathbf{G}'_m(\omega,\gamma_0)\gamma^m + \mathbf{G}''_m(\omega,\gamma_0)\Big(\frac{\dot\gamma}{\omega}\Big)^m, \tag{6}$$

so that the stress response represents the sum

$$\sigma = \sigma'(\gamma,\gamma_0) + \sigma''(\dot\gamma,\gamma_0) \tag{7}$$

of the elastic and viscous contributions

$$\sigma'(\gamma,\gamma_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}(\omega,\gamma_0)\gamma^{2n+1}, \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma''(\dot\gamma,\gamma_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}(\omega,\gamma_0)\frac{\dot\gamma^{2n+1}}{\omega^{2n+1}}. \tag{9}$$

It is well known [11–13] that the two forms (5) and (6) are equivalent, and the Fourier-coefficient moduli G'_m and G''_m can be expressed in terms of the power-coefficient moduli $\mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}$ and $\mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}$ and vise versa.

A. FT coefficients via SD coefficients

First, making use of the trigonometric formulas

$$\sin^{2n+1}x = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{2^{2n}}\binom{2n+1}{k}\sin(2n-2k+1)x,$$

$$\cos^{2n+1}x = \frac{1}{2^{2n}}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2n+1}{k}\cos(2n-2k+1)x,$$

(see, e.g., formulas (1.320.3) and (1.320.7) given by Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [14]), we find

$$G'_m = (-1)^{(m-1)/2}\sum_{n=(m-1)/2}^{\infty}\alpha_{n,m}\mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}\gamma_0^{2n}, \tag{10}$$

$$G''_m = \sum_{n=(m-1)/2}^{\infty}\alpha_{n,m}\mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}\gamma_0^{2n}, \tag{11}$$

where the coefficients

$$\alpha_{n,m} = \frac{1}{2^{2n}}\binom{2n+1}{(2n-m+1)/2}$$

are expressed in terms of a binomial coefficient

$$\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}, \quad \binom{p}{0} = 1,$$

with $p! = 1\cdot 2\cdot \ldots (p-1)p$ being the factorial of p.

Observe that the moduli G'_m , G''_m and $\mathbf{G}'_m$, $\mathbf{G}''_m$ have the same dimension of stress.

B. SD coefficients via FT coefficients

Now, we make use of the following trigonometric formulas (see, e.g., formulas (1.332.2) and (1.331.3) in [14]):

$$\sin mx = \sum_{n=0}^{(m-1)/2} S_{m,2n+1}\sin^{2n+1}x, \quad S_{m,1} = m,$$

$$S_{m,p} = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}\frac{m}{p!}\prod_{k=0}^{(p-3)/2}[m^2-(2k+1)^2], \quad p\neq 1,$$

$$\cos mx = \sum_{n=0}^{(m-1)/2} C_{m,2n+1}\cos^{2n+1}x,$$

$$C_{m,p} = \frac{(-1)^{(m-p)/2}m2^p}{m-p}\binom{(m+p-2)/2}{(m-p-2)/2},$$

$$p = 1,3,\ldots,m-2, \quad C_{m,m} = 2^{m-1}.$$

Here, m is assumed to be odd.

In this way, we arrive at the relations

$$\mathbf{G}'_m = \frac{1}{\gamma_0^{m-1}}\sum_{n=(m-1)/2}^{\infty} G'_{2n+1}S_{2n+1,m}, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{G}''_m = \frac{1}{\gamma_0^{m-1}}\sum_{n=(m-1)/2}^{\infty} G''_{2n+1}C_{2n+1,m}. \tag{13}$$

In particular, we find that

$$\mathbf{G}'_1 = G'_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2n+1)G'_{2n+1}, \tag{14}$$

$$\mathbf{G}''_1 = G''_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n(2n+1)G''_{2n+1}. \tag{15}$$

Finally, we underline that if the Fourier series representation (5) contains a finite number of terms, that is $m = 1,3,\ldots,M$, where M is odd, then the stress decomposition (6) will contain terms up to the degree M, including. This can be seen from formulas (12) and (13), where the summation starts with $(m-1)/2$.

III. GENERALIZED MODULI FOR LAOS

A. Introducing the storage and loss moduli via the Krylov–Bogoliubov equivalent linearization

In their studies of quasilinear oscillations of one-degree-of-freedom systems, Krylov and Bogoliubov [15] approximately replaced a nonlinear function $\sigma(\gamma,\dot\gamma)$ by a linear function of γ and $\dot\gamma$ with coefficients depending on the amplitude and frequency of oscillations, that is

$$\sigma(\gamma,\dot\gamma)\simeq G'_E(\omega,\gamma_0)\gamma+\frac{G''_E(\omega,\gamma_0)}{\omega}\dot\gamma, \tag{16}$$

where the so-called equivalent storage G'_E and loss G'' moduli are given by

$$G'_E=\frac{1}{\pi\gamma_0}\int_0^{2\pi}\sigma(\gamma_0\cos\psi,-\omega\gamma_0\sin\psi)\cos\psi\,d\psi, \tag{17}$$

$$G''_E=-\frac{1}{\pi\gamma_0}\int_0^{2\pi}\sigma(\gamma_0\cos\psi,-\omega\gamma_0\sin\psi)\sin\psi\,d\psi. \tag{18}$$

Let us rewrite the Krylov–Bogoliubov formulas in order to facilitate the comparison with another results. First, utilizing the change of the integration variable $\psi=\tilde\psi-\pi/2$, we can recast formulas (17) and (18) as

$$G'_E=\frac{1}{\pi\gamma_0}\int_0^{2\pi}\sigma(\gamma_0\sin\psi,\omega\gamma_0\cos\psi)\sin\psi\,d\psi, \tag{19}$$

$$G''_E=\frac{1}{\pi\gamma_0}\int_0^{2\pi}\sigma(\gamma_0\sin\psi,\omega\gamma_0\cos\psi)\cos\psi\,d\psi. \tag{20}$$

Now, recalling that $\gamma=\gamma_0\sin\omega t$ and $\dot\gamma=\omega\gamma_0\cos\omega t$ (see Eqs. (3) and (4)), we can replace the definite integrals in (19) and (20) with contour integrals as follows:

$$G'_E=-\frac{1}{\pi\omega\gamma_0^2}\oint\sigma(\gamma,\dot\gamma)\,d\dot\gamma, \tag{21}$$

$$G''_E=\frac{1}{\pi\gamma_0^2}\oint\sigma(\gamma,\dot\gamma)\,d\gamma. \tag{22}$$

Thus, it can be seen that the equivalent moduli G'_E and G''_E *per se* correspond to the generalized dynamic moduli introduced by Cho et al. [9]. The energy and geometric interpretations of these moduli were given by Ilyin et al. [16].

The substitution of the FT expansion (5), which, by introducing the phase angle $\psi=\omega t$, van be rewritten in the form

$$\sigma=\gamma_0\sum_{m:\text{odd}}G'_m(\omega,\gamma_0)\sin m\psi+G''_m(\omega,\gamma_0)\cos m\psi,$$

into formulas (19) and (20) yields

$$G'_E(\omega,\gamma_0)=G'_1(\omega,\gamma_0), \tag{23}$$

$$G''_E(\omega,\gamma_0)=G''_1(\omega,\gamma_0). \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, for the SD expansion (6), the following relations hold [9]:

$$G'_E(\omega,\gamma_0)=\mathbf{G}'_1(\omega)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\beta_{2n+1}\mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}(\omega,\gamma_0)\gamma_0^{2n}, \tag{25}$$

$$G''_E(\omega,\gamma_0)=\mathbf{G}''_1(\omega)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\beta_{2n+1}\mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}(\omega,\gamma_0)\gamma_0^{2n}. \tag{26}$$

Here we have introduced the notation

$$\beta_{2n+1}=\frac{4}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi/2}\cos^{2n+2}\psi\,d\psi=\frac{(2n+2)!}{2^{2n+1}[(n+1)!]^2}.$$

Note that formulas (23)–(26) agree with formulas (11) and (10) for $m=1$.

B. Minimum and large strain moduli

For LAOStrain experiments, Ewoldt et al. [11] introduced the minimum-strain modulus, G'_M, and the large-strain modulus, G'_L, defined as

$$G'_M=\left.\frac{d\sigma}{d\gamma}\right|_{\gamma=0},\quad G'_L=\left.\frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right|_{\gamma=\pm\gamma_0}. \tag{27}$$

Taking into account that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\gamma}=\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\frac{dt}{d\gamma}=\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\frac{1}{(d\gamma/dt)}=\frac{\dot\sigma}{\dot\gamma}, \tag{28}$$

the minimum-strain and large-strain moduli (27) can be evaluated in terms of the FT-coefficient moduli as follows [11, 17]:

$$G'_M=\sum_{m:\text{odd}}mG'_m(\omega,\gamma_0), \tag{29}$$

$$G'_L=\sum_{m:\text{odd}}(-1)^{(m-1)/2}G'_m(\omega,\gamma_0). \tag{30}$$

In the framework of the SD approach, in light of (7)–(9) and (28), we will have

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\gamma}=\mathbf{G}'_1(\omega)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2n+1)\mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}(\omega,\gamma_0)\gamma^{2n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2n+1)\mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}(\omega,\gamma_0)\frac{\dot\gamma^{2n-1}\ddot\gamma}{\omega^{2n+1}},$$

and, therefore, taking into account that $\ddot{\gamma}\big|_{\gamma=0} = 0$, we obtain

$$G'_M = \mathbf{G}'_1(\omega). \tag{31}$$

Further, the substitution of (6) into the second formula (27) yields

$$G'_L = \mathbf{G}'_1(\omega) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}(\omega, \gamma_0)\gamma^{2n}. \tag{32}$$

It is important to note [18] that the moduli G'_M and G'_L can be evaluated via two different methods: by stress decomposition, e.g., using FT rheology, or from Lissajous–Bowditch plots.

Similarly, [11] introduced the minimum-rate dynamic viscosity, η'_M, and the large-rate dynamic viscosity, η'_L, defined as

$$\eta'_M = \frac{d\sigma}{d\dot{\gamma}}\Big|_{\dot{\gamma}=0}, \quad \eta'_L = \frac{\sigma}{\dot{\gamma}}\Big|_{\dot{\gamma}=\pm\dot{\gamma}_0}, \tag{33}$$

where $\dot{\gamma}_0 = \omega\gamma_0$.

In the framework of the FT rheology, the following expansions take place [11, 17]:

$$\eta'_M = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{m:\text{odd}} (-1)^{(m-1)/2} m G''_m(\omega, \gamma_0), \tag{34}$$

$$\eta'_L = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{m:\text{odd}} G''_m(\omega, \gamma_0). \tag{35}$$

In the framework of the SD rheology, the substitution of (6) into the second formula (30) yields

$$\eta'_L = \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\mathbf{G}''_1(\omega) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}(\omega, \gamma_0)\gamma_0^{2n}\right). \tag{36}$$

To evaluate the dynamic viscosity η'_M, we note that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt}\frac{dt}{d\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\ddot{\gamma}},$$

where, in light of (3) and (4), $\ddot{\gamma} = -\omega^2\gamma_0 \sin\omega t$, so that $\ddot{\gamma} = -\omega^2\gamma$ and, therefore,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\dot{\gamma}} = -\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\omega^2\gamma}\left(\mathbf{G}'_1(\omega) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2n+1)\mathbf{G}'_{2n+1}(\omega, \gamma_0)\gamma^{2n}\right) + \frac{1}{\omega}\mathbf{G}''_1(\omega) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2n+1)\mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}(\omega, \gamma_0)\frac{\dot{\gamma}^{2n}}{\omega^{2n-1}}.$$

Thus, the minimum-rate dynamic viscosity is given by

$$\eta'_M = \frac{1}{\omega}\mathbf{G}''_1(\omega). \tag{37}$$

Observe that the large-strain modulus G'_L, as it is given by the power series expansion (32), coincides with one of the generalized storage moduli introduced by Cho et al. [9] (see also [19]) as follows:

$$G'_L = \frac{\sigma'_{\max}}{\gamma_0}, \quad G''_L = \frac{\sigma''_{\max}}{\gamma_0}. \tag{38}$$

Here, $\sigma'_{\max}$ and $\sigma''_{\max}$ are the maxima of the elastic (8) and viscous (9) stresses, which are attained at $\gamma = \gamma_0$ and $\dot{\gamma} = \dot{\gamma}_0$, respectively.

Further, observe that the generalized loss modulus G''_L is equal to $\omega\eta'_L$, that is

$$G''_L = \omega\eta'_L = \omega\frac{\sigma}{\dot{\gamma}}\Big|_{\dot{\gamma}=\pm\dot{\gamma}_0}. \tag{39}$$

By analogy, we can introduce the generalized minimum-rate loss modulus as

$$G''_M = \omega\eta'_M = \omega\frac{d\sigma}{d\dot{\gamma}}\Big|_{\dot{\gamma}=0}. \tag{40}$$

Therefore, in view of (35), (36), and (39), we will have

$$G''_L = \sum_{m:\text{odd}} G''_m(\omega, \gamma_0) \tag{41}$$

$$= \mathbf{G}''_1(\omega) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{G}''_{2n+1}(\omega, \gamma_0)\gamma_0^{2n}. \tag{42}$$

Correspondingly, formulas (34), (37), and (40) give

$$G''_M = \sum_{m:\text{odd}} (-1)^{(m-1)/2} m G''_m(\omega, \gamma_0) \tag{43}$$

$$= \mathbf{G}''_1(\omega). \tag{44}$$

It is interesting to note that the generalized storage and loss moduli G'_M and G''_M coincide with the first SD coefficients $\mathbf{G}'_1$ and $\mathbf{G}''_1$.

C. Minimum and large stress moduli

Now, let us introduce the minimum-stress modulus, $\mathcal{G}'_m$, and the large-stress modulus, $\mathcal{G}'_l$, defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}'_m = \frac{d\sigma}{d\gamma}\Big|_{\sigma=0}, \quad \mathcal{G}'_l = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\Big|_{\sigma=\pm\sigma_0}. \tag{45}$$

Here, σ_0 is the stress amplitude, i.e., $\sigma_0 = \max\sigma(t)$. Note that the quantity $\mathcal{G}'_m$ was originally introduced by Rogers et al. [20] as the so-called apparent cage modulus.

Similarly, let us define the minimum-stress dynamic viscosity, η'_m, and the large-stress dynamic viscosity, η'_l, defined by the following formulas (cf. (33)):

$$\eta'_m = \frac{d\sigma}{d\dot{\gamma}}\Big|_{\sigma=0}, \quad \eta'_l = \frac{\sigma}{\dot{\gamma}}\Big|_{\sigma=\pm\sigma_0}. \tag{46}$$

where $\dot{\gamma}_0 = \omega\gamma_0$.

In Figs. 1 and 2, an illustrative example (see Section IV A) of Lissajous–Bowditch plots for a complete



FIG. 1. Lissajous–Bowditch plot of stress versus strain. Four straight lines represent the defined moduli for LAOS measurements under a sinusoidal strain input.



FIG. 2. Lissajous–Bowditch plot of stress versus strain rate. Four straight lines represent the defined viscosities for LAOS measurements under a sinusoidal strain input.

cycle with a sinusoidal strain input is represented together straight lines corresponding to the introduced above moduli and viscosities.

In the framework of SAOS, it is easy to get interpretations for the moduli (45) and viscosities (46). Indeed, if the applied oscillatory strain is given by $\gamma(t) = \gamma_0 \sin \omega t$, then the oscillatory stress response can be represented in the form $\sigma(t) = \sigma_0 \sin(\omega t + \delta)$. Then, it can be shown that

$$\mathcal{G}'_m = \mathcal{G}'_l = \frac{\sigma_0}{\gamma_0 \cos\delta}, \quad \eta'_m = \eta'_l = \frac{\sigma_0}{\gamma_0 \sin\delta}. \tag{47}$$

Therefore, taking into account the SAOS relations

$$G_D = \frac{\sigma_0}{\gamma_0}, \quad G_D^2 = G'^2 + G''^2,$$

$$G' = G_D \cos\delta, \quad G'' = G_D \sin\delta,$$

where $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$ are the storage and loss moduli, we readily get

$$\mathcal{G}'_m = \mathcal{G}'_l = \frac{G_D}{\cos\delta} = \frac{G_D^2}{G'} \tag{48}$$

and

$$\eta'_m = \eta'_l = \frac{G_D}{\omega \sin\delta} = \frac{G_D^2}{\omega G''}. \tag{49}$$

Note that the first formula (47) and (48) were obtained for the cage modulus $\mathcal{G}'_m$ by Rogers et al. [20].

Furthermore, in the framework of SAOS, the following inverse relations take place:

$$G' + iG'' = \frac{1}{J' - iJ''}, \quad J' = \frac{G'}{G_D^2}, \quad J'' = \frac{G''}{G_D^2}. \tag{50}$$

Here, $J'(\omega)$ and $J''(\omega)$ are the storage and loss compliances, respectively.

Thus, from (48)–(50), it follows that

$$\mathcal{G}'_m = \mathcal{G}'_l = \frac{1}{J'}, \quad \eta'_m = \eta'_l = \frac{1}{\omega J''}. \tag{51}$$

We emphasize that formulas (51) hold only in the SAOS regime. In the case of LAOS, by analogy with (51), one can introduce the following quantities:

$$J'_m(\omega, \gamma_0) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}'_m(\omega, \gamma_0)} = \frac{d\gamma}{d\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=0}, \tag{52}$$

$$J'_l(\omega, \gamma_0) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}'_l(\omega, \gamma_0)} = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=\pm\sigma_0}, \tag{53}$$

$$J''_m(\omega, \gamma_0) = \frac{1}{\omega \eta'_m(\omega, \gamma_0)} = \frac{1}{\omega}\frac{d\dot{\gamma}}{d\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=0}, \tag{54}$$

$$J''_l(\omega, \gamma_0) = \frac{1}{\omega \eta'_l(\omega, \gamma_0)} = \frac{1}{\omega}\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=\pm\sigma_0}. \tag{55}$$

The quantities (52)–(55) can be called generalized storage and loss compliances defined in the strain-controlled test.

By analogy with Eqs. (51)–(53), we can introduce the following quantities:

$$\mathcal{G}''_m(\omega, \gamma_0) = \frac{1}{J''_m(\omega, \gamma_0)}, \tag{56}$$



FIG. 3. Lissajous–Bowditch plot of stress versus relative strain rate. Four straight lines represent the defined moduli for LAOS measurements under a sinusoidal strain input.

$$\mathcal{G}_l''(\omega,\gamma_0)=\frac{1}{J_l''(\omega,\gamma_0)}. \tag{57}$$

Note that, though the moduli $\mathcal{G}_m''$ and $\mathcal{G}_l''$ were introduced via the corresponding viscosities (see formulas (54)–(57)), they can be directly measured from the scaled Lissajous–Bowditch plot (see Fig. 3).

D. Generalization of the dynamic modulus and the loss angle

In LAOS, as it was observed by Rogers [21], it is still possible to define a value of the dynamic modulus

$$G_D(\omega,\gamma_0)=\frac{\sigma_0}{\gamma_0}, \tag{58}$$

where $\sigma_0=\max\sigma(t)$ is the stress amplitude.



FIG. 4. Sinusoidal strain input and non-dimensional stress output.

The generalized loss angle can be introduced in a number of ways. First, based on the time delay, Δt_A, between the amplitude values of the strain input and the stress output, i.e., between the stress and strain maxima (see Fig. 4), we can introduce the so-called amplitude based loss angle

$$\delta_A(\omega,\gamma_0)=\omega\Delta t_A. \tag{59}$$

The corresponding storage and loss moduli can be formally introduced by the formulas

$$G_A'=G_D\cos\delta_A,\quad G_A''=G_D\sin\delta_A, \tag{60}$$

where the dynamic modulus G_D is given by (58).

Further, as it was noted by Rogers et al. [20], in the nonlinear regime, the concept of a single valued phase angle only makes sense when the periods of oscillation are identical (equal to $2\pi/\omega$) and when the phase difference is measured at zero stress. In this case, the so-called zero-stress based generalized loss angle, $\delta_{Z\sigma}$, can be introduced via the following formula Rogers et al. [20]:

$$\delta_{Z\sigma}(\omega,\gamma_0)=\arcsin\frac{\gamma_{Z\sigma}}{\gamma_0}. \tag{61}$$



FIG. 5. Definition of the quantities $\gamma_{Z\sigma}$ and $\sigma_{Z\gamma}$.

Here, $\gamma_{Z\sigma}$ is the strain at which the stress is instantaneously zero. This quantity can be evaluated from the Lissajous–Bowditch curve.

Finally, for the sake of completeness, let us introduce the zero-strain based generalized loss angle, $\delta_{Z\gamma}$, by the formula

$$\delta_{Z\gamma}(\omega,\gamma_0)=\arcsin\frac{\sigma_{Z\gamma}}{\sigma_0}, \tag{62}$$

where $\sigma_{Z\gamma}$ is the stress at which the strain is instantaneously zero. Again, this quantity can be calculated from the Lissajous–Bowditch curve (see Fig. 5).

For the sake of completeness, in the case of LAOS measurements represented via the stress versus strain rate Lissajous–Bowditch plot, we can define the zero-stress based, $\delta_{z\sigma}$, and the zero-strain-rate based, $\delta_{z\dot\gamma}$, generalized loss angles as follows:

$$\delta_{z\sigma}=\arccos\frac{\sigma_{z\dot\gamma}}{\sigma_0},\quad \delta_{z\dot\gamma}=\arccos\frac{\dot\gamma_{z\sigma}}{\omega\gamma_0}. \tag{63}$$

Here, $\sigma_{z\dot\gamma}$ is the stress at which the strain rate is instantaneously zero, $\dot\gamma_{z\sigma}$ is the strain rate at which the stress is instantaneously zero.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this section, we briefly overview the defined generalized moduli on an illustrative example of LAOS and formulate some recommendations and conclusions.

A. Example of strain-controlled LAOS

We consider a LAOS flow of a molten low-density polyethylene at 150° with the test frequency $f=1$ Hz (so that $\omega=2\pi$ rad/s) and the strain amplitude $\gamma_0=10$, which was treated in the FT framework by Giacomin and Oakley [22] using the discrete Fourier transform to obtain the Fourier series coefficients from the LAOS loop (see Table I).

The coefficients $\mathbf{G}_m'$ and $\mathbf{G}_m''$ for the stress decomposition (6) (see Table I) were evaluated using formulas (12) and (13), which, in this case, are specified as follows:

$$\mathbf{G}_1'=G_1'+3G_3'+5G_5'+7G_7'+9G_9',$$

TABLE I. FT coefficients (Pa) [22] and SD coefficients (Pa).

m	$G'_m(\omega,\gamma_0)$	$G''_m(\omega,\gamma_0)$	$\mathbf{G}'_m(\omega,\gamma_0)$	$\mathbf{G}''_m(\omega,\gamma_0)$
1	2188.962	6681.102	1554.936	8276.825
3	-416.276	-576.721	-3.114	-17.58
5	166.008	-22.007	0.148	-0.022
7	-40.880	5.802	-0.002	-0.001
9	7.880	1.801	0.00002	0.000005

$$\mathbf{G}''_1 = G''_1 - 3G''_3 + 5G'_5 - 7G''_7 + 9G''_9,$$

$$\gamma_0^2\mathbf{G}'_3 = -4G'_3 - 20G'_5 - 56G'_7 - 120G'_9,$$

$$\gamma_0^2\mathbf{G}''_3 = 4G''_3 - 20G''_5 + 56G''_7 - 120G''_9,$$

$$\gamma_0^4\mathbf{G}'_5 = 16G'_5 + 112G'_7 + 432G'_9,$$

$$\gamma_0^4\mathbf{G}''_5 = 16G''_5 - 112G''_7 + 432G''_9,$$

$$\gamma_0^6\mathbf{G}'_7 = -64G'_7 - 576G'_9,$$

$$\gamma_0^6\mathbf{G}''_7 = 64G''_7 - 576G''_9,$$

$$\mathbf{G}'_9 = \frac{256}{\gamma_0^8}G'_9, \quad \mathbf{G}''_9 = \frac{256}{\gamma_0^8}G''_9.$$

First, using formulas (23) and (24), we readily get the energetically equivalent storage and loss moduli

$$G'_E = 2188.962 \text{ Pa}, \quad G''_E = 6681.102 \text{ Pa},$$

which coincide with the FT coefficients $\mathbf{G}'_1$ and $\mathbf{G}''_1$.

Now, formulas (31) and (44), we get the minimum strain storage and loss moduli

$$G'_M = 1554.936 \text{ Pa}, \quad G''_M = 8276.825 \text{ Pa},$$

which simply coincide with the SD coefficients G'_1 and G''_1.

The so-called large strain storage and loss moduli can be evaluated suing formulas (30), (32) and (41), (42) as

$$G'_L = 2820.006 \text{ Pa}, \quad G''_L = 6089.977 \text{ Pa}.$$

Further, numerically evaluating the stress amplitude $\sigma_0 = 65745.8$ Pa, by formula (58) we obtain the generalized dynamic modulus

$$G_D = 6574.58 \text{ Pa},$$

whereas formulas (59), (61), and (62) yield three different loss angles (in radians)

$$\delta_A = 1.065, \quad \delta_{Z\sigma} = 1.277, \quad \delta_{Z\gamma} = 1.184.$$

It is clear that some other quantities of interest can be evaluated in the same way.

B. Discussion and conclusion

As it is shown by the example of the previous section, the discrepancy between the moduli evaluated in LAOS according to different definitions can be very substantial. For example, G'_E and G'_L differ from G'_M by 40% and 80%, respectively, whereas the three storage moduli must coincide in SAOS.

It is interesting to observe that the SD coefficients show tendency to decay faster than the FT coefficients (see Table I). Therefore, the fit of the experimental LAOS loop [22] will require a smaller number of SD coefficients.

Further, it is important to emphasize that though the quantities $\mathcal{G}'_m$ and $\mathcal{G}'_l$ were introduced in a similar way to G'_M and G'_L, they do not reduce to the storage modulus in SAOS. However, the corresponding reciprocal values, J'_m and J'_l (see formulas (52) and (53)) coincide with the storage compliance in the range of small strains. Therefore, it is of practical interest to compare the values of J'_m and J'_l, which are determined under strain control, with the small-strain compliance, J'_M, and the large-strain compliance, J'_L, which are defined under stress control with the sinusoidal input as follows [17]:

$$J'_M(\omega,\sigma_0) = \frac{d\gamma}{d\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=0}, \quad J'_L(\omega,\sigma_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\Big|_{\sigma=\pm\sigma_0}. \qquad (64)$$

Note that comparison of strain-controlled and stress-controlled LAOS flows was performed in [17, 23].

Let us underline again that though the right-hand sides of two formulas (64) coincide with the right-hand sides of (52) and (53), respectively, in LAOS, the values of J'_M and J'_L can be different from J'_m and J'_l, even for the same level of the stress amplitude.

A very important finding in our study is the exact coincidence of the minimum-strain storage modulus G'_M and the minimum-rate loss modulus G''_M with the first SD coefficients $\mathbf{G}'_1(\omega)$ and $\mathbf{G}''_1(\omega)$, respectively. In the spirit of the decomposition method of Cho et al. [9], the latter quantities, being coefficients of the leading terms in the SD expansions (8) and (9), should not depend on the strain amplitude γ_0. This fact is of crucial relevance for LAOS, where other considered generalized moduli are strain amplitude dependent.

Thus, to conclude, a rheologicaly nonlinear material in LAOS is characterized by a set of storage and loss moduli, each of which has a more or less distinct physical meaning. While the different definitions result in the same values in SAOS, their predictions in LAOS can differ by tens of percents (of course, depending on the level of the strain amplitude). Therefore, when characterizing a LAOS flow in terms of the storage and loss moduli provided by commercially available rheometers, a special attention should be paid to specifying the method of moduli measurement. The strain-amplitude independent generalized storage and loss moduli G'_M and G''_M are highlighted as being worthy of identifying the mechanical properties of a rheologically nonlinear material in LAOS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

IA is grateful to the Biofilms center for the hospitality during his stay at the Malmö University, where this research was carried out.

[1] Larson, R.G., "The structure and rheology of complex fluids," Oxford Univ. Press, New York (1998).

[2] Graf, G., and V. Kocherbitov, "Determination of sorption isotherm and rheological properties of lysozyme using a high-resolution humidity scanning QCM-D technique," J. Phys. Chem. B **117**, 10017–10026 (2013).

[3] Znamenskaya, Y., J. Sotres, S. Gavryushov, J. Engblom, T. Arnebrant, and V. Kocherbitov, "Water sorption and glass transition of pig gastric mucin studied by QCM-D," J. Phys. Chem. B **117**, 2554–2563 (2013).

[4] Björklund, S., and V. Kocherbitov, "Humidity scanning quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring setup for determination of sorption-desorption isotherms and rheological changes," Rev. Sci. Instrum. **86**, 055105 (2015).

[5] Christensen, R.M., "Theory of Viscoelasticity," Academic Press, New York (1971).

[6] Pipkin, A.C., "Lectures on Viscoelasticity Theory," Springer, Berlin (1972).

[7] Brader, J.M., M. Siebenbürger, M. Ballauff, K. Reinheimer, M. Wilhelm, S.J. Frey, F. Weysser, and M. Fuchs, "Nonlinear response of dense colloidal suspensions under oscillatory shear: Mode-coupling theory and Fourier transform rheology experiments," Phys. Rev. E **82**, 061401 (2010).

[8] Argatov, I., "Sinusoidally-driven flat-ended indentation of time-dependent materials: Asymptotic models for low and high rate loading," Mech. Mater. **48**, 56–70 (2012).

[9] Cho, K.S., K. Hyun, K.H. Ahn, and S.J. Lee, "A geometrical interpretation of large amplitude oscillatory shear response," J. Rheol. **49**, 747–758 (2005).

[10] Thompson, R.L., A.A. Alicke, and P.R. de Souza Mendes, "Model-based material functions for SAOS and LAOS analyses," J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. **215**, 19–30 (2015).

[11] Ewoldt, R.H., A.E. Hosoi, and G.H. McKinley, "New measures for characterizing nonlinear viscoelasticity in large amplitude oscillatory shear," J. Rheol. **52**, 1427–1458 (2008).

[12] Yu, W., P. Wang, and C. Zhou, "General stress decomposition in nonlinear oscillatory shear flow," J. Rheol. **53**, 215–238 (2009).

[13] Ewoldt, R.H., "Defining nonlinear rheological material functions for oscillatory shear," J. Rheol. **57**, 177–195 (2013).

[14] Gradshteyn, I.S., and I.M. Ryzhik, "Table of Integrals, Series and Products," 6th ed. Acad. Press, San Diego (2000).

[15] Krylov, N., and N. Bogoliubov, "Introduction to nonlinear mechanics," Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1947).

[16] Ilyin, S., V. Kulichikhin, and A. Malkin, "Characterization of material viscoelasticity at large deformations," Appl. Rheol. **24**, 13653 (2014).

[17] Läuger, J., and H. Stettin, "Differences between stress and strain control in the non-linear behavior of complex fluids," Rheol. Acta **49**, 909–930 (2010).

[18] Hess, A., and N. Aksel, "Yielding and structural relaxation in soft materials: Evaluation of strain-rate frequency superposition data by the stress decomposition method," Phys. Rev. E **84**, 051502 (2011).

[19] Cho, K.S., K.-W. Song, and G.-S. Chang, "Scaling relations in nonlinear viscoelastic behavior of aqueous PEO solutions under large amplitude oscillatory shear flow," J. Rheol. **54**, 27–63 (2010).

[20] Rogers, S.A., B.M. Erwin, D. Vlassopoulos, and M. Cloitre, "A sequence of physical processes determined and quantified in LAOS: Application to a yield stress fluid," J. Rheol. **55**, 435–458 (2011).

[21] Rogers, S.A., "A sequence of physical processes determined and quantified in LAOS: An instantaneous local 2D/3D approach," J. Rheol. **56**, 1129–1151 (2012).

[22] Giacomin, A.J., and J.G. Oakley, "Obtaining Fourier series graphically from large amplitude oscillatory shear loops," Rheol. Acta **32**, 328–332 (1993).

[23] Bae, J.E., M. Lee, K.S. Cho, K.H. Seo, and D.G. Kang, "Comparison of stress-controlled and strain-controlled rheometers for large amplitude oscillatory shear," Rheol. Acta **52**, 841–857 (2013).